













## 1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		13.2%	36
Europe		33.7%	92
Middle East		1.8%	5
<b>North America</b>		<b>47.3%</b>	<b>129</b>
Africa		1.1%	3
Latin America/Caribbean		2.2%	6
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania		0.7%	2
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>273</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>1</b>

## 2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including this one)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		12.8%	35
2 - 5		15.4%	42
6 - 10		16.1%	44
11 - 19		19.0%	52
>20		<b>36.6%</b>	<b>100</b>
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>273</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>1</b>

### 3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.8%	7
IAB member	<input type="checkbox"/>	1.6%	3
IRSG Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	2.7%	5
IAOC-Trust member		0.0%	0
Nomcom member	<input type="checkbox"/>	4.3%	8
Working Group chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	35.1%	65
<b>Author of active working group draft</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>127</b>
Author of active individual submission draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	65.9%	122
Full Time Student	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.5%	12
<b>answered question</b>			<b>185</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>89</b>

### 4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.4%	9
1950 - 1960	<input type="checkbox"/>	23.7%	63
1961 - 1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	27.1%	72
<b>1971 - 1980</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>95</b>
After 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	10.2%	27
<b>answered question</b>			<b>266</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>8</b>





### 5. Did you attend IETF 84 in Vancouver?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, on a Day Pass		1.5%	4
Yes		97.1%	265
No		1.5%	4
<b>answered question</b>			<b>273</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>1</b>



### 6. What is the OS of your meeting computer?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Windows		48.3%	125
Mac OS		40.2%	104
Linux		11.6%	30
		Other (please specify)	16
<b>answered question</b>			<b>259</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>15</b>






### 7. How long did your travel to the meeting take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		17.0%	45
5 to 10 hours		30.6%	81
<b>11 to 20 hours</b>		<b>40.8%</b>	<b>108</b>
> 20 hours		11.7%	31
<b>answered question</b>			<b>265</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>9</b>

### 8. Did you have to apply for a visa to attend IETF 84?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		8.7%	23
<b>No</b>		<b>91.3%</b>	<b>242</b>
<b>answered question</b>			<b>265</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>9</b>



### 9. The IETF is returning to Vancouver for IETF 88. Do you support this choice?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly support		45.5%	120
Support		40.9%	108
Weakly support		8.3%	22
Only if necessary		3.4%	9
No		1.9%	5

Comments 32

answered question	264
skipped question	10








### 10. Which hotel did you stay in?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Hyatt		75.4%	153
Sheraton		24.6%	50

Other (please specify) 60

answered question	203
skipped question	71

### 11. Why did you elect to stay at this hotel? (Pick all that apply).

		Response Percent	Response Count
Socialize with other attendees		40.2%	101
IETF Internet		38.2%	96
<b>Convenience/proximity to venue</b>		<b>70.9%</b>	<b>178</b>
Price		33.5%	84
Corporate travel agency requirement/recommendation		12.0%	30
Unavailability of first option		12.0%	30
Hotel awards program points		12.7%	32
	Other (please specify)		19
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>251</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>23</b>

## 12. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	0.0% (0)	0.8% (2)	5.3% (14)	32.6% (86)	<b>61.0%</b> <b>(161)</b>	0.4% (1)	4.54	264
NOC	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.9% (5)	10.5% (27)	20.5% (53)	<b>67.1%</b> <b>(173)</b>	4.56	258
Help Desk	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.5% (9)	7.8% (20)	12.4% (32)	<b>76.4%</b> <b>(197)</b>	4.38	258
Terminal Room	0.4% (1)	3.1% (8)	8.6% (22)	14.0% (36)	14.4% (37)	<b>59.5%</b> <b>(153)</b>	3.96	257
Lounge on 34th Floor	0.0% (0)	3.9% (10)	10.9% (28)	17.1% (44)	18.6% (48)	<b>49.6%</b> <b>(128)</b>	4.00	258
						Comments		41
						<b>answered question</b>		<b>264</b>
						<b>skipped question</b>		<b>10</b>

**13. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

	<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Rating Count</b>
Internet service in my hotel room	5.8% (15)	9.6% (25)	15.4% (40)	<b>28.8%</b> <b>(75)</b>	28.1% (73)	12.3% (32)	3.73	260
Meeting facilities	0.0% (0)	1.5% (4)	10.0% (26)	<b>52.9%</b> <b>(137)</b>	35.1% (91)	0.4% (1)	4.22	259
Hotel Staff	0.0% (0)	0.8% (2)	9.3% (24)	<b>46.1%</b> <b>(119)</b>	32.2% (83)	11.6% (30)	4.24	258
Food and beverage	1.2% (3)	3.1% (8)	17.7% (46)	<b>51.5%</b> <b>(134)</b>	22.3% (58)	4.2% (11)	3.95	260
							Comments	52
							<b>answered question</b>	<b>263</b>
							<b>skipped question</b>	<b>11</b>



## 14. How would you rate the following?

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	12.6% (33)	<b>50.0%</b> <b>(131)</b>	33.2% (87)	3.8% (10)	4.21	262
Power strips availability	0.0% (0)	2.7% (7)	16.1% (42)	<b>51.0%</b> <b>(133)</b>	29.5% (77)	0.8% (2)	4.08	261
Secretariat staff	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.2% (3)	24.2% (63)	<b>51.2%</b> <b>(133)</b>	23.5% (61)	4.65	260
Registration experience	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.9% (5)	28.5% (74)	<b>68.8%</b> <b>(179)</b>	0.8% (2)	4.67	260
Letter of Invitation handling	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	5.5% (14)	9.8% (25)	<b>84.3%</b> <b>(215)</b>	4.60	255
Visa processing	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.0% (5)	3.2% (8)	4.8% (12)	<b>90.0%</b> <b>(224)</b>	4.28	249

Comments 6

answered question 263

skipped question 11

## 15. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?

<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/84/meeting-packet.pdf>

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	0.0% (0)	1.5% (4)	3.1% (8)	<b>38.6%</b> <b>(100)</b>	21.6% (56)	35.1% (91)	4.24	259

Comments 22

answered question 259

skipped question 15

**16. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 84All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 84Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?**

	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	N/A	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	2.8% (7)	5.1% (13)	2.8% (7)	<b>89.0%</b> <b>(226)</b>	3.93	254
mtd@ietf.org	0.4% (1)	0.4% (1)	2.8% (7)	4.3% (11)	1.6% (4)	<b>90.5%</b> <b>(229)</b>	3.67	253
84All	0.0% (0)	0.8% (2)	9.3% (24)	<b>42.2%</b> <b>(109)</b>	29.5% (76)	18.2% (47)	4.23	258
84Attendees	0.8% (2)	2.7% (7)	18.8% (49)	<b>34.2%</b> <b>(89)</b>	30.0% (78)	13.5% (35)	4.04	260
						Comments		16
						answered question		261
						skipped question		13

**17. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

	<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Rating Count</b>
Newcomers Training	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	4.0% (10)	6.0% (15)	<b>89.7%</b> <b>(226)</b>	4.54	252
Network Configuration Management with NETCONF and YANG	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.6% (4)	3.6% (9)	5.5% (14)	<b>89.3%</b> <b>(226)</b>	4.37	253
Meetecho Tutorial for Participants and WG Chairs	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	1.2% (3)	2.4% (6)	2.0% (5)	<b>94.0%</b> <b>(235)</b>	4.00	250
Introduction to IETF Tools	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.6% (4)	4.0% (10)	2.0% (5)	<b>92.5%</b> <b>(233)</b>	4.05	252
Security	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	0.8% (2)	3.2% (8)	4.5% (11)	<b>91.1%</b> <b>(225)</b>	4.32	247

Suggestions for Future Tutorials 11

**answered question 255**

**skipped question 19**

**18. How do you rate the Plenaries? The Wednesday Plenary reports were shortened considerably.**

	<b>Very Poor</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>Rating Average</b>	<b>Rating Count</b>
Monday (Technical)	1.2% (3)	3.5% (9)	14.0% (36)	<b>44.6%</b> <b>(115)</b>	16.7% (43)	20.2% (52)	3.90	258
Wednesday (Administrative)	0.8% (2)	1.6% (4)	11.8% (30)	32.2% (82)	12.5% (32)	<b>41.2%</b> <b>(105)</b>	3.92	255

Suggestions for Improvement 31

**answered question 262**

**skipped question 12**



## 19. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	45
answered question	45
skipped question	229



## 20. Do you plan to attend the following IETF meetings?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Undecided	Rating Count
IETF 85 Atlanta	69.1% (179)	10.4% (27)	13.9% (36)	6.6% (17)	259
IETF 86 Orlando	58.8% (151)	4.3% (11)	23.7% (61)	13.2% (34)	257
IETF 87 Berlin	63.5% (162)	5.1% (13)	20.8% (53)	10.6% (27)	255
IETF 88 Vancouver	58.5% (151)	0.8% (2)	26.7% (69)	14.0% (36)	258
				answered question	261
				skipped question	13

**21. If you did not attend IETF 84, why not? (Check all that apply.)**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		0.0%	0
Expense		0.0%	0
Distance		0.0%	0
Location		0.0%	0
Corporate decision		0.0%	0
<b>Could not get a Visa</b>		<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Didn't have information on time to make a decision</b>		<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>
Other (please specify)		0.0%	0
<b>answered question</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>272</b>

**22. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location, including another location at the meeting, using the Jabber room and/or audio streaming?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
<b>Yes</b>		<b>50.0%</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>No</b>		<b>50.0%</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>answered question</b>			<b>4</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>270</b>

## 23. How would you rate the audio streaming, Jabber rooms, and Meetecho in support of your participation?

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	<b>66.7% (2)</b>	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	2.00	
Jabber room	<b>66.7% (2)</b>	0.0% (0)	33.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.67	
Meetecho	<b>50.0% (2)</b>	0.0% (0)	25.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	25.0% (1)	2.50	

Comments

answered question

skipped question

## 24. Do you plan to attend the following IETF meetings?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Undecided	Rating Count
IETF 85 Atlanta	<b>75.0% (3)</b>	0.0% (0)	25.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	4
IETF 86 Orlando	<b>50.0% (1)</b>	0.0% (0)	<b>50.0% (1)</b>	0.0% (0)	2
IETF 87 Berlin	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>100.0% (2)</b>	0.0% (0)	2
IETF 88 Vancouver	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>100.0% (2)</b>	0.0% (0)	2
<b>answered question</b>					<b>4</b>
<b>skipped question</b>					<b>270</b>

Page 2, Q6. What is the OS of your meeting computer?

1	FreeBSD	Sep 3, 2012 2:35 AM
2	and iPad	Aug 29, 2012 5:21 AM
3	Android	Aug 28, 2012 7:40 PM
4	iOS	Aug 28, 2012 1:08 PM
5	Android, I only used my phone during the meeting	Aug 27, 2012 7:37 PM
6	I don't know	Aug 27, 2012 4:44 PM
7	NetBSD	Aug 27, 2012 4:55 AM
8	Android	Aug 27, 2012 1:31 AM
9	iPad (I guess you would say iOS.	Aug 27, 2012 1:24 AM
10	Both Windows and Linux	Aug 27, 2012 12:06 AM
11	iOS	Aug 26, 2012 10:25 PM
12	Android	Aug 26, 2012 10:24 PM
13	actually, Android tablet, so Linux kernel...	Aug 26, 2012 9:36 PM
14	iOS	Aug 26, 2012 9:05 PM
15	iOS	Aug 26, 2012 9:02 PM
16	FreeBSD	Aug 26, 2012 8:52 PM





**Page 3, Q9. The IETF is returning to Vancouver for IETF 88. Do you support this choice?**

1	Good venue, great city, a little expensive.	Sep 17, 2012 9:21 AM
2	Nothing against Vancouver, but I think it is odd and problematic that by 90 we will have had 4 meetings in Canada in 4 years including one that was pulled out of Asia even though we had a committed host offer in Australia or New Zealand. This is inconsistent with what we are told as a reason for locating meetings. Do we have enough RFCs coming from Canadian authors to justify a meeting in Canada every year for 4 years straight?	Sep 4, 2012 3:46 PM
3	Long travel. Otherwise, OK.	Sep 4, 2012 10:34 AM
4	Vancouver is an excellent venue!	Sep 3, 2012 8:15 AM
5	YVR airport seems a bit overloaded during holiday season...	Aug 31, 2012 9:08 AM
6	Facilities at IETF84 were good, city easy to get to and to navigate. However, as a personal interest, I like to discover new cities/countries	Aug 29, 2012 4:47 AM
7	Surely it is possible to find somewhere further away and more inconvenient for me.	Aug 28, 2012 8:41 AM
8	I think Vancouver is a peaceful, beautiful place; in addition, it is easy to get anywhere by skytrain.	Aug 27, 2012 8:30 PM
9	Excellent venue - a bit expensive to get to (Canadian airfares are high by comparison to US), but I definitely support going back.	Aug 27, 2012 4:25 PM
10	IMO - this was the best hotel we've stayed at since I started attending IETF meetings (at #45 Oslo). Best location within a city. Great city. great hotel (Hyatt). need to work on issues of 3rd floor hallway being a congestion point.	Aug 27, 2012 4:10 PM
11	Vancouver is a lovely city. The Hyatt had weird elevators, but otherwise was fine.	Aug 27, 2012 2:41 PM
12	Really far from US East coast. Minimal direct flight options.	Aug 27, 2012 11:19 AM
13	I prefer to vary meeting locations. I believe this helps to spread the IETF message and provide opportunity for some folks to attend who may not be able to otherwise.	Aug 27, 2012 9:38 AM
14	Vancouver is really beautiful~	Aug 27, 2012 7:59 AM
15	why repeat if other cities ? ;-)	Aug 27, 2012 6:40 AM
16	Way too far even if once you are there, the location is great and the city is really nice!	Aug 27, 2012 6:35 AM
17	Prefer more EU venues, but I am biased as I live in the EU.	Aug 27, 2012 6:33 AM
18	Vancouver is an excellent venue. Having said that, it is also one of the most expensive cities in North America.	Aug 27, 2012 5:53 AM
19	Vancouver and Prague are the best IETF venues I have been to.	Aug 27, 2012 5:47 AM

**Page 3, Q9. The IETF is returning to Vancouver for IETF 88. Do you support this choice?**

20	Although I like the opportunity to see all kinds of different places around the world thanks to the IETF meetings, the venue should in the first place be a good, affordable meeting venue. With that in mind, the choice to go back twice to the same city is in my opinion a very valid choice.	Aug 27, 2012 4:16 AM
21	too many meetings in Vancouver	Aug 27, 2012 3:56 AM
22	great city !	Aug 27, 2012 3:52 AM
23	Awsome!	Aug 27, 2012 3:20 AM
24	Vancouver is a very nice and beautiful city - I like going there multiple times.	Aug 27, 2012 2:08 AM
25	I liked Vancouver as a place and definitely it is a good choice in itself. Just did not expect to come back to it so soon.	Aug 27, 2012 1:29 AM
26	Prefer to visit other international venues.	Aug 27, 2012 12:10 AM
27	I don't generally support the idea of the set of IETF destinations becoming very consolidated, so that we only visit a fixed list of places. But Vancouver is a good destination, so I don't object to repeating it every so often.	Aug 26, 2012 10:22 PM
28	Great meeting. Great location.	Aug 26, 2012 9:47 PM
29	Vancouver is just great! I liked the location of the Westin on the waterfront better, but nearby food options were better around the Hyatt. Hallway congestion in the Hyatt was a real problem. I hope several things can be done to alleviate this next time (e.g. don't use hallway for food distribution, but I'm not sure where you could move it to). Also even when it wasn't food break, moving between meeting rooms between sessions was too crowded. Thursday night bits and bytes room was too crowded also.	Aug 26, 2012 9:39 PM
30	Nice places to eat, mmm!	Aug 26, 2012 9:17 PM
31	Great city! Overall the hotel was good too; would support staying at a hotel of *at least* the same quality (i.e., Hyatt or better).	Aug 26, 2012 9:07 PM
32	Another hotel please	Aug 26, 2012 9:05 PM



**Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?**

1	Sandman Hotel	Sep 7, 2012 6:04 AM
2	Greenbrier Hotel (not recommended)	Sep 4, 2012 6:37 AM
3	The Sutton Place Hotel	Sep 3, 2012 10:20 AM
4	Another, much cheaper hotel	Sep 3, 2012 10:05 AM
5	Sandman Vancouver City Centre	Sep 3, 2012 8:15 AM
6	Landmark Empire	Sep 3, 2012 6:01 AM
7	Sandman Vancouver	Aug 31, 2012 9:08 AM
8	Westin Bayshore	Aug 29, 2012 10:57 AM
9	Marriott	Aug 29, 2012 9:45 AM
10	Holiday Inn	Aug 29, 2012 5:06 AM
11	I live near Vancouver. Stayed at home.	Aug 28, 2012 7:42 PM
12	local apartment	Aug 28, 2012 2:01 PM
13	Weston	Aug 28, 2012 11:13 AM
14	ambassador	Aug 28, 2012 6:32 AM
15	Hilton Metrotown	Aug 27, 2012 11:10 PM
16	Hotel Le Soleil	Aug 27, 2012 6:18 PM
17	Carmana Plaza	Aug 27, 2012 4:47 PM
18	Sutton Place	Aug 27, 2012 4:25 PM
19	Ramada Inn	Aug 27, 2012 4:06 PM
20	Marriott Pinnacle	Aug 27, 2012 2:54 PM
21	Delta Vancouver	Aug 27, 2012 12:42 PM
22	Tropicana Suites on Robson	Aug 27, 2012 11:26 AM
23	Renaissance	Aug 27, 2012 10:46 AM
24	Hampton Inn & Suites	Aug 27, 2012 10:10 AM
25	Renaissance	Aug 27, 2012 8:35 AM
26	Empire Landmark	Aug 27, 2012 7:59 AM
27	Holiday Inn	Aug 27, 2012 7:42 AM

**Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?**

28	Marriott	Aug 27, 2012 7:29 AM
29	Sandman	Aug 27, 2012 7:10 AM
30	Holiday Inn	Aug 27, 2012 5:37 AM
31	Ramada	Aug 27, 2012 4:16 AM
32	Blue Horizon	Aug 27, 2012 4:01 AM
33	BARCLAY	Aug 27, 2012 3:48 AM
34	Westin	Aug 27, 2012 3:25 AM
35	Holiday Inn	Aug 27, 2012 3:18 AM
36	Sutton Place	Aug 27, 2012 3:11 AM
37	Home (near Vancouver)	Aug 27, 2012 3:03 AM
38	airbnb rental apartment	Aug 27, 2012 2:59 AM
39	The Sutton Place	Aug 27, 2012 2:31 AM
40	Kingston	Aug 27, 2012 2:30 AM
41	Best Western in Barnaby	Aug 27, 2012 2:06 AM
42	I live in Vancouver	Aug 27, 2012 12:46 AM
43	Hilton Metrotown	Aug 27, 2012 12:24 AM
44	AirBnB	Aug 26, 2012 10:55 PM
45	Private apartment - the "official" IETF hotels are priced only for those with corporate expense accounts.	Aug 26, 2012 10:28 PM
46	With family	Aug 26, 2012 10:20 PM
47	Best Western	Aug 26, 2012 10:17 PM
48	Kensington	Aug 26, 2012 10:13 PM
49	Le Soleil	Aug 26, 2012 10:12 PM
50	Renaissance	Aug 26, 2012 9:49 PM
51	got Sheraton via hotwire, as it turns out, I expected to get something completely different.	Aug 26, 2012 9:42 PM
52	Holiday Inn downtown	Aug 26, 2012 9:33 PM
53	other	Aug 26, 2012 9:31 PM

**Page 3, Q10. Which hotel did you stay in?**

54	Hitlon Mid-town	Aug 26, 2012 9:26 PM
55	Empire Landmark	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
56	Victorian	Aug 26, 2012 9:13 PM
57	overflow	Aug 26, 2012 9:08 PM
58	Delta	Aug 26, 2012 9:02 PM
59	budget Inn	Aug 26, 2012 9:01 PM
60	Empire Landmark Hotel	Aug 26, 2012 9:00 PM



**Page 3, Q11. Why did you elect to stay at this hotel? (Pick all that apply).**

1	Booked in by my company	Sep 3, 2012 6:49 AM
2	Wanted a little distance from the venue	Sep 2, 2012 7:56 PM
3	Hotel has pool for exercise (Hyatt pool is way too small)	Aug 29, 2012 5:25 PM
4	Left it too late to find a nearby-cheapo	Aug 29, 2012 9:37 AM
5	The price very nearly made me stay elsewhere	Aug 28, 2012 8:41 AM
6	As a ISOC fellow, Hyatt was the option they gave us. Nevertheless, it was an excellent choice.	Aug 27, 2012 8:30 PM
7	I was sponsored by ISOC.	Aug 27, 2012 1:25 PM
8	My VPN client does not work in Hyatt hotels. Turns out it didn't work in the Sheraton either and the IETF took over the Hyatt network. Oh well. The walk was good for me.	Aug 27, 2012 1:11 PM
9	Offered full suite with kitchen	Aug 27, 2012 11:26 AM
10	I thought the hotel were as good as other Sheraton hotels I've been to. Please find a better overflow hotel for IETF88.	Aug 27, 2012 11:25 AM
11	The suite had a kitchen so I could prepare some of my own meals which is a really nice thing when you're on the road for 10 days.	Aug 27, 2012 10:10 AM
12	Not my choice: paid directly by my company.	Aug 27, 2012 8:04 AM
13	my boss booked this hotel for me	Aug 27, 2012 6:15 AM
14	The Internet Society sponsored me as a fellow and booked the hotel and reservation on my behalf.	Aug 27, 2012 3:59 AM
15	Need to travel with young children and wanted refrigerator/stove/etc	Aug 27, 2012 2:59 AM
16	Being an ISOC fellow, it was booked by ISOC	Aug 27, 2012 1:33 AM
17	Easy choice	Aug 27, 2012 12:46 AM
18	Spend time with family	Aug 26, 2012 10:20 PM
19	Had I a choice of non-Hyatt hotels, I wouldn't have picked the Sheraton, as being the overflow hotel, it had lots of IETFers using the network, and of course, it broke, because they never listen. I would have picked another hotel with fewer IETFers in it.	Aug 26, 2012 9:42 PM





**Page 3, Q12. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.**

1	no good shared workspace to sit down and work on documents	Sep 8, 2012 3:02 PM
2	Terminal room with couches! Nice! The 34th floor lounge was a nice idea and had a great view but with the annoying elevators it was often just not worth it to make the effort. Any time I did make it up it seemed mostly empty.	Sep 4, 2012 3:46 PM
3	Congrats for the Eduroam SSID broadcast ! that's very convenient.	Sep 3, 2012 6:01 AM
4	I'm assuming you are asking about the venue wireless. The Sheraton's wireless was acceptable (with allowances for hotels and IETF demands), but barely so.	Sep 2, 2012 7:56 PM
5	the 34th floor lounge was a surprise, thanks!	Aug 31, 2012 6:23 AM
6	Terminal room too small. 34th floor lounge very useful	Aug 29, 2012 5:06 AM
7	Lounge on the 34th Floor? I missed that!	Aug 28, 2012 1:17 PM
8	Terminal room printer really slow on wireless, and fine on copper	Aug 28, 2012 8:41 AM
9	There was a lounge in 34th floor? Oh!	Aug 28, 2012 4:58 AM
10	A larger lounge space would have been useful - it was often full.	Aug 28, 2012 4:51 AM
11	The wireless at the Sheraton didn't work at all! I had to switch to the hard wired line to get even minimal service.	Aug 28, 2012 12:00 AM
12	34th floor lounge was wonderful!	Aug 27, 2012 4:25 PM
13	Hyatt in-room wireless sucked, but I assume that's not what you mean.	Aug 27, 2012 4:24 PM
14	Was not aware of 34th floor lounge	Aug 27, 2012 2:41 PM
15	Power distribution in 34th floor lounge was not good.	Aug 27, 2012 1:38 PM
16	Did not need to use the Terminal Room	Aug 27, 2012 1:25 PM
17	The elevators made anything off the core floors difficult to use.	Aug 27, 2012 11:26 AM
18	Lounge is too small. Very frequently can't find a table to discuss with people	Aug 27, 2012 11:07 AM
19	The 34th floor lounge wasn't well advertised.	Aug 27, 2012 10:22 AM
20	in-room service could be slow at times	Aug 27, 2012 9:52 AM
21	What's NOC?	Aug 27, 2012 9:11 AM
22	Lounge could have been more comfortable and had more than water for drinks.	Aug 27, 2012 8:35 AM
23	I'll try the lounge next time, assuming they re-design the elevator controls.	Aug 27, 2012 8:27 AM
24	Too few chairs...	Aug 27, 2012 7:59 AM
25	Wireless surprisingly had a couple of issues one day (Thursday I think). Lounge was excellent touch.	Aug 27, 2012 5:47 AM

**Page 3, Q12. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.**

26	I didn't know there was a lounge on the 34th floor.	Aug 27, 2012 4:54 AM
27	Smell of carpet glue on 34th floor made it an unattractive alternative.	Aug 27, 2012 4:36 AM
28	Lounge was not really a lounge, more like a second terminal room. The terminal room was more of a lounge, with all the couches.	Aug 27, 2012 4:16 AM
29	Did not manage to connect to the printer.	Aug 27, 2012 2:41 AM
30	In-room wireless wasn't very reliable, but I'm attributing that to the infrastructure and not the IETF's link to the Internet. In-meeting wireless was superb.	Aug 27, 2012 12:10 AM
31	we needed more power outlets in 34th floor	Aug 26, 2012 10:17 PM
32	The lounge was a great idea. I would love to have lounges in future events as well.	Aug 26, 2012 10:12 PM
33	I didn't use the lounge. Probably should have.	Aug 26, 2012 9:47 PM
34	34th floor was too far away, particularly given wrongly optimized elevators, so I really didn't use it, and wasn't even particularly aware that it existed.	Aug 26, 2012 9:42 PM
35	maybe a few wired network drops in 34th floor and it could be another terminal room, or *the* terminal room. But then again... if everyone knew about it, maybe it would be too crowded.	Aug 26, 2012 9:39 PM
36	Terminal room was *much* too small.	Aug 26, 2012 9:35 PM
37	The hotel common areas were very cramped during session breaks.	Aug 26, 2012 9:26 PM
38	The "heat lamps" over the tables made it difficult to stay for long in the terminal room. The 34th floor lounge was a very pleasant, attractive place.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
39	Terminal room seemed kind of small / congested.	Aug 26, 2012 9:18 PM
40	Lounge on 34th Floor was great, but not well-advertised.	Aug 26, 2012 9:07 PM
41	Terminal room should be quiet area	Aug 26, 2012 9:05 PM



**Page 3, Q13. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

1	The food for breakfast/breaks was average/expected. Small point- I am guessing that it might impact cost to include more protein laden choices at breakfast, but in general refined carbs and fruits just jack your blood sugar up temporarily and leave you hungry again within 1-2 hours. I rated "good" though because of the Bits n Bites food which was abnormally elaborate. That must have cost a lot of money and then with the free booze on top, a lot more. Did IETF really actually make any money despite sponsors? Even if this continues past the experiment, do we really need to splurge on that kind of fare instead of putting more sponsor money into supporting the IETF?	Sep 4, 2012 3:46 PM
2	A bit to crowded around the refreshments tables.	Sep 4, 2012 7:37 AM
3	very crowded in the breakfast/food&beverage area	Sep 4, 2012 4:13 AM
4	A bit crowded in the corridors outside the rooms at break times.	Sep 3, 2012 10:20 AM
5	not enough break out areas for attendees, e.g., sofas or quiet corners. lounge in 34th floor was good, but only a single space.	Sep 3, 2012 8:15 AM
6	Hallway was way too crowded.	Aug 29, 2012 5:25 PM
7	As you know, the meeting breaks/snacks were crowded.	Aug 28, 2012 8:41 AM
8	Food served in narrow corridors = some bumping. Room wifi a bit iffy on Sat night. Assumed teething issues.	Aug 28, 2012 4:58 AM
9	The halls were too crowded at snack times	Aug 28, 2012 4:51 AM
10	hallways were somewhat narrow during the breaks	Aug 28, 2012 4:15 AM
11	Sheraton wireless was non-functional	Aug 28, 2012 12:00 AM
12	Layout of the snacks in a narrow hall made traveling the hall to change meetings very difficult	Aug 27, 2012 11:10 PM
13	Wired in-room at the Hyatt would be rated "very good" but I offset that because the in-room wireless was virtually unusable.	Aug 27, 2012 4:24 PM
14	no Coke products? What gives? They are only the #1 market share leader... some (i.e., a lot) of us strongly prefer Coke to Pepsi. oh yeah... and someone has to do something about that elevator algorithm!!	Aug 27, 2012 4:10 PM
15	Wireless internet in room was bad. Wired was okay (but some devices have no wired connection). More fruit/veggie snacks (less pastries) would be nice.	Aug 27, 2012 2:41 PM
16	Sheraton Internet occasionally worked with my VPN. (2 times) The internet was accessible just my VPN client didn't like it.	Aug 27, 2012 1:11 PM
17	The Delta had ethernet in each room - much better than WiFi alone.	Aug 27, 2012 12:42 PM
18	Hallways were a bit too small for the number of people that attended.	Aug 27, 2012 11:59 AM
19	Had a confirmed reservation at hotel, but was given a room with a sofabed the	Aug 27, 2012 11:46 AM

**Page 3, Q13. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

	first night. Unacceptable, in my view, if you have a confirmed reservation.	
20	The hallways were narrow for the uses we made of them.	Aug 27, 2012 10:22 AM
21	Internet service in the overflow hotel had some issues	Aug 27, 2012 9:20 AM
22	The wireless in the room is so slow that it is almost useless.	Aug 27, 2012 9:20 AM
23	the sheraton's hotel wireless was broken a lot, and slow when it wasn't broken. The Hyatt staff clearly didn't understand the way that our meetings operate, between the placement of the snacks in that narrow hallway, and the inaccurate room sizing for the plenary, and I'm sure those things won't happen when we return.	Aug 27, 2012 9:13 AM
24	Some rooms were too small	Aug 27, 2012 9:11 AM
25	break spaces too loud to hear, and could use more couches/chairs for informal breakout	Aug 27, 2012 7:37 AM
26	IETF eetings would largely benefit from having small rooms < 10 ppl for face to face meetings, this is one of the main weaknesses IMHO	Aug 27, 2012 6:40 AM
27	Areas for mingling were to small and had unsuitable acoustics, i.e. very hard and tiring to chat with others.	Aug 27, 2012 6:01 AM
28	The hotel is too expensive but there were enough alternatives close by	Aug 27, 2012 5:16 AM
29	Coridors were a bit smal/narrow to meet and discuss. Not to mention to eat + meet + travel between meeting rooms.	Aug 27, 2012 4:58 AM
30	I hardly ever was out in time for cookies. Dunno what happened.	Aug 27, 2012 4:36 AM
31	Meeting rooms where very noisy because of the AC ventilation and fans.	Aug 27, 2012 4:20 AM
32	Disliked the breakfast. Could not eat the bagles and the rest was too sweet. I do like the idea of having IETF breakfasts, so that you can easy socialize with the meeting members.	Aug 27, 2012 4:16 AM
33	no space to sit outside of the rooms	Aug 27, 2012 3:55 AM
34	Food was placed poorly in the venue. Cookies average.	Aug 27, 2012 3:25 AM
35	Everything was great and well organized. Except for... food and beverage was served in a very narrow corridor, which was quite a bad experience.	Aug 27, 2012 2:31 AM
36	The only annoyance I encountered was too narrow corridor for beverage serving.. It got really congested.	Aug 27, 2012 2:08 AM
37	Continental breakfast is not my stuff. I want wholemeal sourdough bread with ham, cheese and tomatoes for breakfast. The fridge in the hotel room (hyatt) was relatively big and was included as a big surprise.	Aug 27, 2012 2:04 AM
38	Food and beverage = Meh.	Aug 26, 2012 11:32 PM

**Page 3, Q13. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?**

39	Reception food was great; couldn't reach break foods well enough to know.	Aug 26, 2012 10:34 PM
40	Very few vegan choices.	Aug 26, 2012 10:24 PM
41	Some of the meeting rooms were very noisy. Cookies were not that great.	Aug 26, 2012 10:22 PM
42	Breakfast and snacks ran out...	Aug 26, 2012 10:18 PM
43	food and beverage blocked the corridor to the meeting rooms	Aug 26, 2012 10:17 PM
44	It would be nice if they would bring in breakfast and snacks into the larger open area by the escalators, rather than the narrow hall leading to the meeting rooms. This created some nasty people problems.	Aug 26, 2012 10:09 PM
45	There was a bit of an orange juice shortage at breakfast. Cranberry flavored corn syrup drink is no substitute for orange juice at breakfast time.	Aug 26, 2012 9:39 PM
46	Should have been more space around the food etc, it got very crowded	Aug 26, 2012 9:33 PM
47	Not enough space around conference rooms - coffee service taken away within minutes of being put-out.	Aug 26, 2012 9:26 PM
48	I had (free) Internet service in my hotel room, but this is not pertinent to the meeting venue, since I was not staying in the venue hotel.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
49	Sheraton did not honor IETF Internet pricing - to be fair, I glossed over it at checkout. Still, not happy.	Aug 26, 2012 9:17 PM
50	Food was fine but needed healthier options (nonfat chocolate milk; more vegetable dishes). Most hotel staff were great, but not all of them (especially before checking in and after checking out, they were ruder).	Aug 26, 2012 9:07 PM
51	Hotel Elevators suck	Aug 26, 2012 9:05 PM
52	The narrow corridor was tough for getting between rooms during breaks	Aug 26, 2012 8:58 PM

**Page 3, Q14. How would you rate the following?**

1	One Room was way too small for the meeting. You should get an early headcount for interest in BoFs. WG chairs should know their meeting requirements.	Aug 27, 2012 1:11 PM
2	Power strips seemed to be in short supply at the back of some of the meeting rooms.	Aug 27, 2012 7:05 AM
3	Screens could have been higher in many rooms, to be easier to see from the back.	Aug 27, 2012 5:47 AM
4	There is a possible improvement about the agenda on the poster in front of the desk: instead of updating it with a red marker (the fixes are sometimes barely readable as soon as there are too many of same for a same slot), it could be re-printed *and* the changes highlighted with some bright yellow marker or such. An Alternative is to reserve more room for fixes for each slot.	Aug 27, 2012 4:20 AM
5	For some reason, trying to pay for the conference with a corporate credit card failed. I did manage to pay with my personal card and got reimbursed, so no harm done, but it's weird.	Aug 27, 2012 2:06 AM
6	no power strips on 34 and terminal room so small it forced folk to 34	Aug 26, 2012 10:31 PM





**Page 3, Q15. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?**  
<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/84/meeting-packet.pdf>

1	Given the magnitude of the meeting fees, having these only in PDF and having the only schedule and other information being the pocket-sized version that some of us can no longer read, these things really ought to be stripped of the fluff (e.g., we don't really need a color picture of the IETF Chair and greeting messages) and made available on paper at the meeting site as they used to be. If you have to ask at registration whether someone wants one, so be it. If you have to make a reasonable charge for those who do want them, that would be fine too. But the present situation in which important information is buried in an unavailable "book" is not reasonable.	Sep 2, 2012 7:56 PM
2	never looked at it	Aug 29, 2012 9:37 AM
3	Wasn't aware of it.	Aug 28, 2012 4:58 AM
4	I still use the small prgram handout. But I also dpend on the android app these days	Aug 28, 2012 4:15 AM
5	Key meeting that I wanted to attend was moved. Program book was not up to date, so i missed the meeting. I should have stuck with my iPhone app, which had it correctly. If I had no program book, I probably would have found it even without app. In short, program book is of dubious value if can't be made fully up-to-date with changes.	Aug 27, 2012 2:41 PM
6	the PDF availability was a bit late (at least later than usual?)	Aug 27, 2012 8:04 AM
7	Which Program Book?	Aug 27, 2012 7:10 AM
8	Not sure I got one. If I did, I don't think I've checked it.	Aug 27, 2012 6:35 AM
9	The IETF smartphone apps are very handy and made the program book less important.	Aug 27, 2012 6:01 AM
10	The what? :)	Aug 27, 2012 5:47 AM
11	Did not read.	Aug 27, 2012 4:54 AM
12	I never use the printed material.	Aug 27, 2012 4:36 AM
13	I tend to rely on it less than in the past, and use the IETF smartphone apps more.	Aug 27, 2012 4:20 AM
14	Lots of changes, so I prefer the app :)	Aug 27, 2012 4:16 AM
15	The map of the venue is the most important thing in the book. Schedule I got through my android phone.	Aug 27, 2012 2:04 AM
16	I mostly just use the electronic tools now.	Aug 26, 2012 10:49 PM
17	do not use it	Aug 26, 2012 10:31 PM
18	Did not use. The app was great. All I really needed. There should be a better way to organize proceedings. PowerPoint should be discouraged.	Aug 26, 2012 10:24 PM

**Page 3, Q15. How would you rate the meeting Program Book?**  
**<http://www.ietf.org/meeting/84/meeting-packet.pdf>**

19	Did not know it existed.	Aug 26, 2012 10:22 PM
20	Don't use this much if at all. Use attendees email list.	Aug 26, 2012 9:38 PM
21	did not open it.	Aug 26, 2012 9:23 PM
22	I did not use it. I had (large) paper copy of the agenda. (I find it impossible to read the small folded one.) I also played with the Android app for IETF 84, but did not make heavy use of it.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM



**Page 3, Q16. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, Meeting Trouble Desk - mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 84All@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 84Attendees...**

1	Good to see you trying to solve list congestion and actually get people to communicate directly with others that can address problems instead of spamming the attendees list, but I would like to hear more about how the aliases actually worked. We have too many already between IETF and others. Can we really wean people off of just the one list that is easy to remember?	Sep 4, 2012 3:46 PM
2	Tried using mtd once, got no response. S/N ratio on the NNall lists continues to be lousy, but probably nothing can be done about it... and it is clearly better than having that clutter on the IETF list	Sep 2, 2012 7:56 PM
3	84attendees drifted beyond usefulness - but this is my first ietf, so i don't know if that is normal	Aug 29, 2012 5:06 AM
4	I still find it hard to find these email lists in a hurry	Aug 28, 2012 8:41 AM
5	Too many comments and chatter.	Aug 27, 2012 2:54 PM
6	excessive emails about the Clear security bypass. That topic is hardly specific to IETF. Is there some guidance about when email becomes spam?	Aug 27, 2012 2:41 PM
7	The "attendees" mailing list is always very useful for learning the details of the local transit situation.	Aug 27, 2012 1:38 PM
8	Too much useless chatter on the attendee list (more then 100 messages about americans having problems wit crossing the border is excessive)	Aug 27, 2012 5:16 AM
9	84Attendees seems useful. But then people start lengthy conversations about stuff that is irrelevant for most of us...	Aug 27, 2012 2:31 AM
10	Did not know/use some of the mailing lists. Maybe they could be advertised more.	Aug 27, 2012 1:29 AM
11	People tend to be just too talkative at the 84Attendees list... Even after the meeting. We might have to create a verbose version of this list ;-)	Aug 27, 2012 1:26 AM
12	As usual, some people use the attendees list for trouble reports.	Aug 26, 2012 10:49 PM
13	IETF attendees list should be shut down slightly after meeting. The long thread about global entry this time was pretty ridiculous.	Aug 26, 2012 10:22 PM
14	Too many messages on 84Attendees from certain people with no content	Aug 26, 2012 9:23 PM
15	The addresses and purposes of these four lists should be on the agenda (at the end, perhaps). I did not use NOC or MTD lists.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
16	How about enrolling people who attended the last meeting into the next meeting's attendees?	Aug 26, 2012 9:17 PM

**Page 3, Q17. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?**

1	This was my first IETF meeting and my incoming flight was late so I missed the newcomers training and Intro to IETF Tools. Would have been nice if I could have watched a video of the trainings that night.	Aug 28, 2012 1:17 PM
2	Tools and techniques for preparing drafts (for new authors); like how to use MS-WORD to prepare submissions.	Aug 28, 2012 12:00 AM
3	I read the introductory material online (Tao of IETF etc)	Aug 27, 2012 6:18 PM
4	I wasn't able to attend, but in the past these meetings were very informative.	Aug 27, 2012 2:54 PM
5	How to follow RFCs using the RFC tool.	Aug 27, 2012 1:25 PM
6	Draft editing and formating	Aug 27, 2012 11:26 AM
7	too many side meetings for mee to attend any	Aug 27, 2012 5:16 AM
8	new HTTP space (websockets, HTML5...)	Aug 27, 2012 4:58 AM
9	I was not able to attend any, but I would attend the IETF tools next time if offered. A working group chair's training meeting would be helpful as well.	Aug 27, 2012 12:24 AM
10	I would have found the Meetecho and NETCONF tutorials useful, but I was hung up in some personal issues that prevented me from attending either of them.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
11	Internet Routing (BGP) Security	Aug 26, 2012 8:59 PM



**Page 3, Q18. How do you rate the Plenaries? The Wednesday Plenary reports were shortened considerably.**

1	Make them even shorter.	Sep 8, 2012 7:09 PM
2	good steps taken.	Sep 4, 2012 3:46 PM
3	Time is still a little long, but this is passable.	Sep 4, 2012 9:30 AM
4	Keep the plenaries in mid-week as people often want arrive late/early.	Sep 4, 2012 6:37 AM
5	Have enough seats for technical plenary	Sep 4, 2012 6:14 AM
6	Having Van Jacobson actually attend an IETF meeting and give a critically important talk, but do so in a WG with no advance notice to the community, rather than giving him plenary time (even if it meant extending the plenary or squeezing out some other scheduled discussion), is a very sad comment on the state of the IETF and our current inability to respond to changes in events and opportunities with flexibility and creativity.	Sep 2, 2012 7:56 PM
7	Clearly the seating and screens on Monday were a big mess. The creens were not perfect on Wednesday. The presenters on Monday were "OK", but they didn't actually say anything. Hooray for shorter presentation of admin details.	Aug 28, 2012 8:41 AM
8	I never attend the plenaries except for specific technical expositions which I am interested in.	Aug 27, 2012 1:38 PM
9	Place more chairs, they were not enough chairs for all the attendees.	Aug 27, 2012 1:25 PM
10	Room Size!!! Fixed in real time.	Aug 27, 2012 1:11 PM
11	The great thing this time was the plenaries stayed on schedule! Fabulous improvement over Paris. The bad was that the plenaries turned into a pledge drive for the new trust. Perhaps a separate BOF or lunch session could have been scheduled for it?	Aug 27, 2012 12:23 PM
12	The monday room-size fiasco needs to be avoided in the future.	Aug 27, 2012 11:59 AM
13	Always nice to have the room size needed....	Aug 27, 2012 10:46 AM
14	The plenaries are just too long. We should go back to a single plenary (2.5 hrs at the most). We don't really need to hear all the admin details. No one really cares about IAB programs (if they did, they can find all the info they need on the IAB webpage). The technical topic/panel is not particularly interesting. It would be far more efficient to schedule a lunchtime topic on Monday, for example that could cover any interesting technical topic. The majority of the people attending the plenaries are not paying much attention and the only thing that keeps their interest is the bad attitude jabber room and the tool to rate the speakers - that's so juvenile and unprofessional.	Aug 27, 2012 10:10 AM
15	Remove one plenary.	Aug 27, 2012 9:28 AM
16	With the amount of armchair quarterbacking regarding meeting venue choices, it's perhaps time for the IAOC to present during the Admin plenary (in as much detail as is legally permitted) the process that they go through to qualify potential locations, including a case study or two on venues that were successful vs those that failed, either in negotiations/qualification or in that the meetings there just	Aug 27, 2012 9:13 AM



**Page 3, Q18. How do you rate the Plenaries? The Wednesday Plenary reports were shortened considerably.**

	didn't "work" for one or more reasons. Consider opening a part of the tech plenary for lightning talks, especially those with an operational focus	
17	Couldn't attend the technical one because of space!	Aug 27, 2012 9:11 AM
18	Scrap the admin plenary.	Aug 27, 2012 5:47 AM
19	There is a possible improvement about the agenda on the poster in front of the desk: instead of updating it with a red marker (the fixes are sometimes barely readable as soon as there are too many of same for a same slot), it could be re-printed *and* the changes highlighted with some bright yellow marker or such. An Alternative is to reserve more room for fixes for each slot.	Aug 27, 2012 4:20 AM
20	I liked the Monday plenary, but couldn't get in. The audio cut out before everything concluded.	Aug 26, 2012 10:49 PM
21	Room should have been bigger!	Aug 26, 2012 10:24 PM
22	Really enjoyed the technical plenary. SDN is a current topic that does cut across all of the different areas in the IETF.	Aug 26, 2012 10:22 PM
23	I was happy to see less time spent on operational reports. I'd like to see it go away completely, other than a pointer to the data on the web site.	Aug 26, 2012 10:09 PM
24	I appreciated the shortening.	Aug 26, 2012 9:47 PM
25	Wednesday plenary reports were perhaps too short.	Aug 26, 2012 9:42 PM
26	Prefer a very short synopsis of the main points of the reports be given.	Aug 26, 2012 9:41 PM
27	I think the plenaries are important, but need to be managed carefully to avoid wasting the time of the 1000 or so people who show up and sit through them. There is an important ceremonial element to the plenaries... it is the closest the IETF gets to having everyone in the same room sharing the same experience. Having the IESG sit in front of the room and take open questions is important. Having the IAB sit in front of the room and take open questions is important. The IAOC, the IESG, and the IAB should exercise care in planning use of plenary time. Also realize that time to communicate face-to-face during breaks and at meals is important also. When planning plenary time, think about whether the stuff that will take up time at plenary is valuable enough to displace the several hundred pairwise or small group conversations that could have otherwise taken place in that time.	Aug 26, 2012 9:39 PM
28	only do one plenary alternate between technical and administrative	Aug 26, 2012 9:23 PM
29	None that I can think of.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
30	Tech plenary = a lot of hot air about SDN.	Aug 26, 2012 9:18 PM
31	Since we all have laptops, there's little reason to read anything where you can just give a URL	Aug 26, 2012 8:54 PM



**Page 3, Q19. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.**

1	I few more sessions for dummies like me - introduction to Network operations was very importatn	Sep 17, 2012 9:21 AM
2	For lack of a specific place to to talk about the Bits/bites thing in addition to my comments on the elaborate food- This was nice for a first try but I for one really want to be kept informed on exactly how much money is coming in from sponsors versus how much we are paying for prime rib and free drinks. If it is a significant amount I would support it, but if we are losing money, breaking even or only making 10 or 20K per we have to ask "why?". It seems like the communication from Russ/IAOC was focused more on having this because NANOG has one. We are not NANOG and we don't need another party. We already have 2 parties (one of them costs IETF nothing at all) and Thursday was the only night that people could really plan free form meetings or gatherings without having to choose to fore-go a Community function to do so. Prove it is seriously worthwhile before you permanently add it.	Sep 4, 2012 3:46 PM
3	Availability of lunch service at the IETF venue.	Sep 4, 2012 10:34 AM
4	I'd appreciate IETF meetings be hosted in Europe more frequently. I'd like to experience lighter security process and checks to travel to the US of A (next meeting will be in Atlanta, for instance)	Sep 3, 2012 6:01 AM
5	Shorter Fridays. Fewer schedule conflicts, even if that comes at the expense of fewer WGs. Otherwise see above.	Sep 2, 2012 7:56 PM
6	Shorten one or two sessions (e.g., morning session shift to 2 instead of 2.5 hours) and add a slot for attendees to schedule ad-hoc meetings. It can be very hard to find a time to meet with someone to talk about an issue.	Aug 29, 2012 5:25 PM
7	Nothing comes to mind.	Aug 29, 2012 9:45 AM
8	beverage and/or snack breaks should, as much as possible, be laid out in wider spaces to be convenient and beneficial. The corridors at IETF84 were too cramped to stand and have a conversation.	Aug 29, 2012 4:47 AM
9	More meetings in Dubai or Europe maybe?	Aug 28, 2012 6:32 AM
10	Better breakfast, bagels aren't breakfast! Better logistics at breaks, everything was crammed into the walkway between meeting rooms and it was too crowded sometimes to even get to the snacks.	Aug 28, 2012 3:33 AM
11	Only pick cities that are major hubs. Hiroshima will be awful again. The travel is a waste of time.	Aug 27, 2012 10:53 PM
12	Use this Hyatt as the benchmark for all future venues, including it's location/proximity within the safe part of a major city.	Aug 27, 2012 4:10 PM
13	As usual you organised this very well, please continue like that. Thank you!	Aug 27, 2012 4:06 PM
14	Continue with at or below market rate hotel rooms. Even if this means higher meeting fee.	Aug 27, 2012 2:20 PM
15	Liked the punctuality, perhaps a more comprehensive introduction/summary of the meeting. Like this is what we discussiing, and this is where we are; we	Aug 27, 2012 1:25 PM

**Page 3, Q19. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.**

expect this....

16	More seating in the hallways/common areas.	Aug 27, 2012 12:23 PM
17	Definitely need places to sit near the meetings. This has been a problem at quite a few venues. It should be on the checklist of things that are important to supervise. It makes a lot of difference when trying to carry on business in the hallways. The Bits & Bytes meeting was obviously very popular, but it was too loud and perhaps disproportionately representing I* groups instead of industry groups. This probably results from poor publicity but I am only guessing and do not have any facts. Or maybe it was just the right number of booths given the attendance and limited size of meeting room.	Aug 27, 2012 12:12 PM
18	I've always wanted breakout rooms with whiteboards.	Aug 27, 2012 11:26 AM
19	Would prefer to see IETFs return to US more often. Glad the next 2 are in ATL and Orlando, but quite of few of the last ones have been out of the country.	Aug 27, 2012 11:19 AM
20	Strongly favoring America, especially compared to Asia, regarding meeting choice is unfair. The next meeting in Asia is in 2015! 3 out of 4 upcoming meetings will be in America. Please be honest here - how many attendees are from Asia? My personal estimate is at least 30%. True, there have been Hiroshima, Beijing and Teipei in the last 2 years, but it should be 1 America/1 Europe/1 Asia each year.	Aug 27, 2012 10:11 AM
21	Per the above, a single plenary - no more that 2.5 hours. You could cover all the reports in under two hours and then have 30 min. open microphone	Aug 27, 2012 10:10 AM
22	More seats wit power jack outside of session rooms to work on a notebook.	Aug 27, 2012 9:25 AM
23	significantly more lounge/ad-hoc meeting space, distinct from the terminal room. Possibly even rooms that any attendee can sign out for use for a few hours.	Aug 27, 2012 9:13 AM
24	Needed more informal places to sit this time, Paris was a good example of seating outside meeting rooms...	Aug 27, 2012 8:27 AM
25	Provide small meeting rooms for technical face-to-face meetings.	Aug 27, 2012 6:40 AM
26	Clarify the friday afternoon status: SHOULD or MAY attend? So far, it seems to change according to location (and may be according to travel availability to the US). I would favor dropping it to only sacrifice a single WE.	Aug 27, 2012 4:58 AM
27	Move lunch later: 11:30am is too early by about an hour!	Aug 27, 2012 4:54 AM
28	Never go to Disney World. Minneapolis is a good U.S. destination. Boston would be nice.	Aug 27, 2012 4:36 AM
29	Video Streaming for future meetings. Video streaming would be beneficial for remote participants who cannot make it for a physical meetup.	Aug 27, 2012 3:59 AM
30	Move the administrative plenary on thursday instead of wednesday	Aug 27, 2012 3:55 AM
31	None. Everything was satisfactory.	Aug 27, 2012 3:39 AM

**Page 3, Q19. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.**

32	Cheaper destinations (hotel, flights, food) would be helpful in this period	Aug 27, 2012 3:26 AM
33	The breakfast, bagels and coke is not what I eat for breakfast.	Aug 27, 2012 2:04 AM
34	More lounge area on the meeting floors or the hotel for impromptu meetings.	Aug 27, 2012 1:29 AM
35	Coffee and soda available at all times	Aug 27, 2012 12:24 AM
36	better arrangement of the snack table	Aug 27, 2012 12:22 AM
37	Keep pushing for sane(r) hotel pricing and/or good alternate hotel availability. This meeting did well.	Aug 26, 2012 10:34 PM
38	Cheaper, cheaper, cheaper	Aug 26, 2012 10:28 PM
39	More meetings in North America at airline hub cities.	Aug 26, 2012 10:24 PM
40	There was not enough seating for some of the sessions, e.g., SDN	Aug 26, 2012 10:18 PM
41	Do we really need two plenaries? We have trouble finding time for all the work group meetings.	Aug 26, 2012 10:09 PM
42	move the food out of that hallway outside Regency rooms. Make more use of the second floor. Couches and the like there. More wait staff in second floor "pub" space would be nice. Power bars in pub would be nice.	Aug 26, 2012 9:42 PM
43	They are running well; the terminal room was too hot at certain tables, and too bright in front of the windows.	Aug 26, 2012 9:21 PM
44	Socialization opportunities (e.g., bits and bytes) earlier in the meeting, not on Thursday (i.e., prior to 5th day).	Aug 26, 2012 9:07 PM
45	Better remote participant support	Aug 26, 2012 9:05 PM